

Comparison of volume estimation techniques for standing and lying dead woods in Okomu National Park, Edo State, Nigeria

¹Aghimien E.V.*, ¹Osikabor B., ²Adedeji M.S., ²Adams, O.T.

¹Federal College of Forest Resources Management, Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria

²Federal College of Forestry, Ibadan, Nigeria

*Corresponding Author (E-mail; aghimien4@yahoo.com)

ABSTRACT

Dimensions are essential characteristics to estimate dead wood volumes. Therefore, the study is aimed at estimating standing and lying dead wood volumes with a view to identify the variation that exists amongst volume equations. Sample plots of 20m x 20m were randomly laid in four ranges and parameters were measured via laser tools. Volumes and decay classes were estimated. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics, T-test and regression at $\alpha_{0.05}$. A total of 14 lying and 21 Standing Dead Woods (SDW) were recorded. Hossfeld's equation (Hu_e) had standard error and uncertainty values for lying (0.39 and 48%) and SDW (1.12 and 71%) at confidence level of 95%, followed by Geometric equation for the truncated cone (G_e) with values for lying (0.42 and 70%) and SDW (1.41 and 105%), while Smalian's equation (S_e) had values for lying (0.44 and 70%) and SDW (1.45 and 102%). Huber's and Newton's equations had values of (1.68 and 110%) and (1.59 and 107%) for SDW, respectively. There were no statistical differences in each of the three volume equations. Total volume estimate of lying and SDW had values of $5.01 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ for Newton's equation, followed by $1.23 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ and $4.42 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ for S_e , while G_e had values of $1.18 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ and $4.06 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ and Huber's equation had a value of $5.29 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$, respectively. Hu_e had the highest total volume estimate for lying and SDW with values of $1.62 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ and $5.07 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$. Hu_e and other volume equations should be considered suitable for estimating volume of lying and SDW, depending on the available variable.

Keywords: Dead wood, volume equations, species, hectare, forest reserve

INTRODUCTION

Dead wood plays a variety of roles in rainforest ecosystem through its influence on biological, physical and chemical processes (Harmon *et al.*, 1986, McMinn and Crossley 1993, Samuelsson *et al.*, 1994) directly or indirectly it provides a substrate or host for a wide range of organisms, particularly fungi and invertebrates; cavities formed by rot are used as nesting sites or shelter by many vertebrates; and decaying logs may act as safe sites for seedling germination. Standing dead trees otherwise known as snags include

large standing dead individual trees killed by disease, lightning strikes, drought, water logging, or pollution as well as smaller standing trees killed by shading during the early growth of densely stocked stands, while lying dead wood are the falling top and branches that are missing from a stand dead trees. Lying deadwood may sometimes be a whole standing dead tree that has been wind thrown. However, lying dead wood can vary in size from large trunks to small twigs; it may be high in the canopy or lying on the forest floor (Humphrey *et al.*, 2004, Mark

and Micael, 2011). Volume of dead wood in forests can be measured quite easily on small inventory plots. However, the demand for such data is quite new and many countries are just implementing the measurement into their National Forest Inventories (NFI). Dimensions are necessary attributes to calculate approximate volume of deadwood (Stokland *et al.*, 2004). According to Stokland *et al.*, (2004), diameter is one of the most important biodiversity attributes of dead wood, since a majority of species colonizing dead wood respond to its value. While some species prefer small diameters up to 20cm, other species colonize only dead wood with diameters greater than 20cm or even 40cm (Stokland *et al.*, 2004). The identification of standing dead tree is quite easy, but as decomposition advances, the identification becomes more difficult (Tritton, 1980). Standing dead tree longevity is determined in large part by species and sizes. Dead wood volume has been assessed in different ways by different researchers and there is a clear and wide difference in the estimates made by different scientists and foresters (Kishwan *et al.*, 2009). Many deadwood inventory methods require estimates of individual volume in order to obtain an estimate of deadwood volume per ha. This presents special challenges for deadwood above and beyond those that arise for live trees or merchantable logs (Fraver *et al.*, 2002). Having one or more accurate measurements of cross-sectional area does not guarantee an accurate estimate of the volume of individual deadwood pieces; these measurements must be combined in such a way as to produce an accurate average cross-sectional area of the pieces. However, precise and accurate estimates of volume are essential for decision making process by policy makers. Therefore, the study is aimed at estimating standing and lying dead wood volumes with a view to identify the variation that exists amongst volume equations in Okomu National Park.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Description of the study area

Okomu National Park is located between Latitude 60° 15' 0"N and 60° 25' 0"N and longitude of 50° 90' 0"E and 50° 23' 0"N. The Park covers an area of 202.24 km² (Okomu National Park, 2010). Okomu National Park, formerly known as Okomu Wildlife Sanctuary, is a forest block within Okomu Forest Reserve (1,082 km²). The reserve is located in Ovia South-West of Edo State, and is 50km west of the State's capital - Benin City. Okomu National Park was an initial 66km² sanctuary. However, the Nigerian Conservation Foundation (NCF) extended it to 114 km² by adding a 1.6 km wide buffer zone. Okomu National Park is a rain forest habitat for several endangered flora and fauna. Despite the small size of the National Park, Okomu National park is fast becoming an attractive ecotourism destination being characterized by its rich rainforest biodiversity. The park holds a small fragment of the rich forest that once covered the region, and is the last habitat for many endangered species. It continues to shrink as villages encroach on it, and is now less than one third of its original size. Powerful corporations are involved in Okomu National Park development and logging concessions around the park, which also pose a threat (Okomu National Park, 2010).

Sampling design and sample size

The estimation of standing and lying dead wood volumes within the National Park, Okomu National Park is divided into four ranges which include; A (Iguowan range), B (Arakhuan range), C (Julius Creek range) and D (Baubui Creek range). Simple random sampling technique was used to select three (3) Temporary Sample Plots (TSPs) from each of the four (4) ranges, respectively. A total of Twelve (12) TSPs of 20m x 20m

(0.04ha) in size were randomly laid within the study area.

Standing and lying dead wood

Standing and lying dead wood were measured for diameters and heights/lengths in the corresponding TSPs, according to tree sizes. Three (3) diameter measurements were taken using the Criterion RD 1000 laser dendrometer and diameter tape; diameter at base (D_{base}); diameter at breast height (dbh), diameter at top (D_{top}), standing Bole Height (H_b) and lying dead wood Length (L) were measured using TruPulse 200B ranger finder and 50m measuring tape. All section of the lying dead wood outside the TSPs boundaries were ignored. Standing and lying dead wood in all of the TSPs with a diameter ≥ 10 cm and lying dead wood length ≥ 1 m were measured.

Estimation of standing and lying dead wood volume

Foresters have long employed volume formulae derived from classical quadrature. It is a mathematical technique used for evaluating an integral without an exact formula. Quadrature rules used in forestry include the familiar Huber's, Smalian's, and Newton's formulae (Husch *et al.*, 2003). Volume of bole sections are often calculated using Newton's, Smalian's, and Huber's formulae, or alternatively by using the geometric equation for the truncated cone, and Hossfeld's equation. They are mathematically expressed as follows:

$$V = \pi \cdot H_b \left(\frac{D_{base}^2 + (4 \cdot D_{middle}^2) + D_{top}^2}{24} \right) \dots \dots \dots \text{(Newton's eqn. 1)}$$

$$V = \frac{\pi \cdot H_b}{12} (D_{base}^2 + (D_{base} \cdot D_{top}) + D_{top}^2) \dots \dots \text{(Geometric equation for the truncated cone eqn. 2)}$$

$$V = H_b \left(\frac{\left(3 \cdot D_{\left(\frac{1}{3} \right) base} \right)^2 + D_{top}^2}{4} \right) \dots \dots \text{(Hossfeld's eqn.3)}$$

$$V = \pi \cdot H_b \left(\frac{D_{base}^2 + D_{top}^2}{8} \right) \dots \dots \dots \text{(Smalian's eqn. 4)}$$

$$V = \pi \cdot H_b \left(\frac{D_{middle}^2}{4} \right) \dots \dots \dots \text{(Huber's eqn. 5)}$$

Where;

V = Volume (m^3)

H_b = Bole height (m)

L = Length of lying deadwood section (m)

D_{base} = Diameter at base (cm)

D_{top} = Diameter at top (cm)

Dbh = Diameter at breast height (cm)

π = (pi)

eqn. = equation

Volume estimation of standing and lying dead wood within sample plots

The mean plot volume for each dead wood in the rainforest ecosystem in Okomu National Park were computed and then multiplied by 0.04 (20m x 20m plot in an hectare) to obtain volume per hectare (Aghimien *et al.*, 2019).

Dead wood status

The decay classes were determined using a "Matchete Test" which assigns dead wood (standing or lying) into one of the three indicators: sound (S), intermediate (I) or rotten (R), by striking the wood with a matchete (MCGee, 2011). If on striking the deadwood, the matchete;

- i. Bounces back, then the pieces is classified as sound (S)
- ii. Partly sinks into the dead wood, then it is classified as intermediate (I)
- iii. Sinks into the dead wood and the dead wood disintegrates then the dead wood is classified as rotten (R)

Data analysis

Data collected from the study area were entered and arranged for analysis using Microsoft Excel 2007 version. Descriptive statistics, T-test and regression equation were prepared using MS EXCEL and RStudio.

RESULTS

Vegetation structure of standing and lying dead wood

A total of fourteen (14) lying and twenty one (21) standing dead wood were found in the twelve (12) temporary sample plots laid across the four (4) ranges in the study area. Hossfeld's equation had the lowest standard error and uncertainty values of 0.39 and 48%

at confidence level of 95%, followed by Geometric equation for the truncated cone with values of 0.42 and 70%, while Smalian's equation had values of 0.44 and 70%, respectively. There were no significant differences amongst the three volume equations with T-test values of 0.86, 0.95 and 0.49 for Smalian's equation, Hossfeld's equation and Geometric equation for the truncated cone as presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Statistical comparison of lying and standing dead woods

	Smalian's		Hossfeld's		G _e		Huber's	Newton's
	LDW	SDW	LDW	SDW	LDW	SDW	SDW	SDW
Mean	2.32	6.55	3.04	7.26	2.24	6.15	6.99	6.84
Standard Error	0.44	1.45	0.39	1.12	0.42	1.41	1.68	1.59
Standard Deviation	1.63	6.65	1.45	5.14	1.57	6.46	7.70	7.31
Sample Variance	2.66	44.28	2.11	26.41	2.46	41.72	59.30	53.37
Minimum	0.19	0.34	0.87	1.24	0.19	0.33	0.30	0.32
Maximum	5.64	26.49	5.8	21.21	5.40	25.93	29.08	28.22
Confidence Level (95.0%)	0.94	3.03	0.84	2.34	0.91	2.94	3.51	3.33
T-test	0.86*		0.95*		0.49*		-	-
Uncertainty	0.70	1.02	0.48	0.71	0.70	1.05	1.10	1.07

Key: LDW = Lying dead wood, SDW = Standing dead wood, * = No significant difference, G_e = Geometric equation for the truncated cone.

Volume estimation of standing and lying dead wood

The volumes of each dead wood were calculated assuming the tree is a frustum of truncated cone. Hossfeld's equation had the highest total volume estimate of 1.62m³ha⁻¹ and 5.07m³ha⁻¹ for lying and standing dead

wood, while Smalian's equation had value of lying and standing dead wood to be 1.23m³ha⁻¹ and 4.42m³ha⁻¹, and Geometric equation for the truncated cone recorded values of 1.18m³ha⁻¹ and 4.06m³ha⁻¹ for lying and standing dead wood, respectively as shown in Table 2 and Table 3.

Table 2: Volume estimation of lying dead wood


Range	Smalian's (m ³ ha ⁻¹)	Geometric equation for the truncated cone (m ³ ha ⁻¹)	Hossfeld's (m ³ ha ⁻¹)
A	0.47	0.46	0.62
B	0.39	0.37	0.46
C	0.28	0.27	0.39
D	0.09	0.08	0.15

Key: A - Iguowan range, B - Arakhuan range, C - Julius Creek range, D - Baubui Creek range

Table 3: Volume estimation of standing dead wood

Range	Newton's (m ³ ha ⁻¹)	Smalian's (m ³ ha ⁻¹)	Geometric equation for the truncated cone (m ³ ha ⁻¹)	Hossfeld's (m ³ ha ⁻¹)	Huber's (m ³ ha ⁻¹)
A	0.47	0.46	0.62	0.47	0.46
B	0.39	0.37	0.46	0.39	0.37
C	0.28	0.27	0.39	0.28	0.27
D	0.09	0.08	0.15	0.09	0.08

Key: A - Iguowan range, B - Arakhuan range, C - Julius Creek range, D - Baubui Creek range

**Fig.1.** Scattered plots showing the relationship amongst volume equations




Fig. 2. Scattered plots showing the relationship amongst volume equations

Distribution of standing and lying dead wood within classes

The first decay class (Sound) represents dead wood, which is least decayed with intact bark, present twigs and branches, round shapes, smooth surface, intact texture, and the position elevated on support points that makes up about 66.67%. As the decay process continues (Intermediate), the twigs,

parts of branches and bark become elliptical and it represent about 25%. The last decay class (Rotten) represents the most decomposed deadwood with no bark, twigs or branches, which are very soft, strongly fragmented and in contact with the ground along the whole length and it constitute about 8.33% as presented in Table 4.

Table 4: Machete test for standing and lying dead wood

Range	Plot 1		Plot 2		Plot 3	
	LDW	SDW	LDW	SDW	LDW	SDW
A	R	S	S	S	R	S
B	S	S	I	S	S	S
C	I	S	I	S	S	I
D	S	S	I	S	I	S

Key: Sound (S), Intermediate (I), Rotten (R), LDW – Lying dead wood, SDW – Standing dead wood, Key; A - Iguowan range, B - Arakhuan range, C - Julius Creek range, D - Baubui Creek range

DISCUSSION

For the standing dead tree, there was a scale up of volume equations by including Newton's and Huber's equations. Hossfeld's equation had minimum standard error and uncertainty with values of 1.12 and 71% at confidence level of 95%, followed by Geometric equation for the truncated cone with values of 1.41 and 105%, while Smalian's equation had values of 1.45 and 107%, and Huber's equation had maximum standard error and uncertainty values of 1.68 and 110%, respectively. Newton's equation recorded value of 1.59 and 107% for standard error and uncertainty. There were no significant differences amongst the three (3) volume equation with T-test values of 0.86, 0.95, 0.49 for Smalian's equation, Hossfeld's equation, Geometric equation for the truncated cone as presented in Table 1. Fraver *et al.*, (2002) found similar results in a study of LDW. Unfortunately, Newton's equation is the most demanding, requiring not only log length but also three cross-sectional area measurements. Therefore, the dynamics of deadwood, standing or lying vary with the type of forest or plantation as well as with the purpose behind protecting a forest or raising a new forest. In fuel wood plantations or community forestry projects, the woody part of the deadwood is likely to be removed and used as fuel wood. Further, land-use change, particularly from forests and plantations to other land uses such as cropland or grassland, leads to complete loss of dead wood. The total volume estimate with Newton's equation and Smalian's equation for standing dead wood had values of $5.01\text{m}^3\text{ha}^{-1}$ and $5.29\text{m}^3\text{ha}^{-1}$ as revealed in Table 3. It corroborates the study by Fraver *et al.*, (2002), who also explored the average-of-ends equation, the conical frustum equation, and a novel conic-paraboloid equation. Their conic-paraboloid requires no midpoint measurement, showed high accuracy and

should be considered for use when lying dead wood methods require volume estimates for individual pieces and economy of measurement is important. Both Smalian's and Huber's equations give inaccurate estimate of volume of stem section which is not truly frustum of paraboloid. Smalian's equation tends to overestimate while Huber's equation under-estimates. The error due to using Smalian's equation is usually twice that of Huber's equation. Smalian's equation is apparently easier to apply especially with regards to felled logs. The accuracy of estimating total or merchantable stem volume by means of either Smalian's and Huber's equations is increased by dividing the stem into a number of short sections and adding together the sectional volumes (Fraver *et al.*, 2002). A preliminary regression function was used to define a suitable function that will be used to predict volume of dead wood in the study area. Exponential function was used to fit the five volume equations with a view to identify the most suitable predictor and the relationship amongst the five volume equations considering model selection criteria. There were weak relationship amongst the three volume equations for lying dead wood with R^2 values of 11% (Geometric equation for the truncated cone), 9% (Hossfeld's equation), and 9% (Smalian's equation), respectively and the five volume equations for standing dead wood with R^2 values of 12% (Newton's equation), 10% (Huber's equation), 9% (Hossfeld's equation), 10% (Geometric equation for the truncated cone), 16% (Smalian's equation), respectively as presented in Figure 1 and Figure 2. The effect of forest management varies with the ecosystem and the type of activity. Many harvests increase dead wood because tops, limbs, small trees, or cull trees (i.e., slash) are left on-site as a byproduct of removing more economically valuable material (e.g., saw timber). For example,

even a 5% basal-area removal in nature conservation will significantly increase the volume and mass of deadwood (Fraver *et al.*, 2002). However, over the long term managed forests often have fewer deadwoods than unmanaged stands (Lesica *et al.*, 1991, Duvall and Grigal 1999, Gibb *et al.*, 2005). Harvest can also change the distribution of decay classes of lying dead wood and reduce the average piece size of deadwood (Fraver *et al.*, 2002, Stevenson *et al.*, 2006). Some silvicultural prescriptions call for site preparation, e.g., pilling, windrowing, or scalping to expose mineral soil, and such treatments can reduce deadwood over large area. Until recently, dead wood was perceived as a negative element of the forest ecosystems that indicates mismanagement, negligence and wastefulness of the applied forest management (Stevenson *et al.*, 2006).

CONCLUSION

Based on the findings, it is generally accepted that dead wood plays an important role in ecosystem dynamics. It was observed that deadwood constituted a small percentage in the nature conservation of Okomu National Park. However, Hossfeld's equation had the lowest standard error and uncertainty values of 0.39 and 48% at confidence level of 95%. Although, there were no significant differences amongst the three volume equations with T-test values for Smalian's equation, Hossfeld's equation, Geometric equation for the truncated cone between lying and standing dead woods. However, Hossfeld's equation had the highest total volume estimate of $1.62\text{m}^3\text{ha}^{-1}$ and $5.07\text{m}^3\text{ha}^{-1}$ for lying and standing dead wood, although exhibited a very weak relationship to other volume equations with an R^2 value of 9%. Therefore, Hossfeld's equation and other volume equations should be considered suitable for estimating volume of standing and lying deadwood, depending on the available variable. Further research should be

conducted to further identify the variability in the five volume equations for estimating dead wood.

REFERENCES

- Aghimien, E.V. and James, T.K. 2019. Above-Ground Bole Carbon Stock Estimation Using Forest Inventory of the Secondary Forest Ecosystem in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Journal of forestry and Management*, 16(3), 41-49
- Duvall, M.D. and Grigal, D.F. 1999. Effects of timber harvesting on coarse woody debris in red pine forests across the Great Lakes States, U.S.A. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 29, 1926-1934.
- Fraver, S., Wagner, R.G., and Day, M. 2002. Dynamics of coarse woody debris following gap harvesting in the Acadian forest of central Maine, U.S.A. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 32(12), 2094-2105
- Gibb, H., Ball, J., Johansson, T., Atlegrim, O., Hjalten, J., and Danell, K., 2005. Effects of management on coarse woody debris volume and composition in boreal forests in Northern, Sweden. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research*, 20(3), 213-222
- Humphrey, J.W., Sippola, A.L., Lemperiere, G., Dodelin, B., Alexander, K.N.A. and Butler, J.E. 2004. Deadwood as an indicator of biodiversity in European forests: from theory to operational guidance. *EFI-proceedings*, 51, 193-206
- Harmon, M.E., Franklin, J.F., Swanson, F.J., Sollins, P., Gregory, S.V.,

- Lattin, J.D., Anderson, N.H., Cline, S.P., Aumen, N.G., Sedell, J.R., Lienkaemper, G.W., Cromack, K. and Cummins, K.W. 1986. Ecology of coarse woody debris in temperate ecosystems. *Advances in Ecological Research*, 15, 133-302
- Husch, B., Beers, T.W. and Kershaw, J.A. Jr. 2003. *Forest mensuration*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 443 pp.
- Kishwan, J., Pandey, R. and Dadhwal, V.K. 2009. India's forest and tree cover: contribution as a carbon sink. *Indian Council of Forestry and Education Technical Paper*. ICFRE, Dehradun. 12 pp.
- Lesica, P., McCune, B., Cooper, S.V. and Hong, W.S. 1991. Differences in Lichen and Bryophyte communities between Old-Growth and Managed 2nd-Growth forests in the Swan valley, Montana. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, 69 (8), 1745-1755
- McGee, G.G. 2001. Stand-level effect on the role of decaying logs as vascular plant habitat in Adirondack, Northern Hardwood Forest, *The Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society*, 128 (4), 370-380
- Mark J.D., and Micael S.W. (2011). Comparison of Hosseld's method and two modern methods for volume estimation of standing trees, *West. J. Appl. For.* 26(1), 19-23
- MCMinn, J.W. and Crossley, D.A. 1993. Biodiversity and coarse woody debris in southern forests. USDA *Southern Research Station*, Athens, GA. pp 60-69
- Okomu National Park 2010. Nigeria National Park Service. Retrieved 4 November 2010. 3pp.
- Stokland, J.N., Tomter, S.M. and Soderberg, U. 2004. Development of dead wood indicators for biodiversity monitoring: Experiences from Scandinavia. *EFI Proceedings*, pp. 207-228
- Stevenson, S.K., Jull, M.J. and Rogers, .B.J. (2006). Abundance and attributes of wildlife trees and coarse woody debris at three silvicultural systems study areas in the Interior Cedar-Hemlock Zone, British Columbia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 233(1), 176-191
- Samuelsson, J., Gustafsson, L. and Ingelög, T. 1994. Dying and dead trees: a review of their importance for biodiversity. *Swedish Threatened Species Unit*, Uppsala, pp 23-50
- Tritton, L. M. 1980. Deadwood in the northern hardwood forest ecosystem. *Yale University, New Haven, CT*. pp 30-35